

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,141	1	2/31/2003	Masumi Kubo	4034-42	6878
23117	7590	11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
NIXON &		-	CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID		
1100 N GLE 8TH FLOOI)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTO	N, VA 2	2201-4714	2871		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/748,141	KUBO ET AL.				
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tarifur R Chowdhury	2871				
Ti Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication apeply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAI - Extensions after SIX (ii) - If the perioration of the period of the pe	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. dd for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Re:	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 20 p	<u>August 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ Thi	↑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition (of Claims						
4a) 5)☐ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)☐ Cla	im(s) 12-18 is/are pending in the application Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawim(s) is/are allowed. im(s) 12-18 is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application	Papers	•					
9) □ The	specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) <u></u> The	D) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
App	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)∐ The	oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreignul b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureathe attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		🗖	177				
2) Notice of I 3) Informatio	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 s)/Mail Date <u>O6/02/04; 09/29/04</u> & 10/04/04/						

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 12 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/307,432. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claim is anticipated by the claim 1 of the copending application 10/307,432.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

3. Claims 12-18 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 and 5-8 of copending Application No. 09/923,344 in view of Wu.

3.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,141

Art Unit: 2871

The co-pending discloses all the limitations of the instant claims except that the solid portions includes a plurality of sub-electrodes and a plurality of contact portions each for mutually electrically connecting at least some of the sub-electrodes.

Wu discloses a liquid crystal display wherein the pixel electrode includes a plurality of sub-electrodes and a plurality of contact portions each for mutually connecting at least some of the sub-electrodes (Fig. 3, col. 2, lines 50-57). Wu also discloses that such an structure is advantageous since it is capable of repairing point defect (col. 2, lines 33-34).

Wu is evidence that ordinary workers in the art would find a reason, suggestion or motivation to have plurality of sub-electrodes and a plurality of contact portions each for mutually electrically connecting at least some of the sub-electrodes.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the display device of copending application 09/923,344 by employing an electrode wherein the solid portion includes plurality of sub-electrodes and a plurality of contact portions each for mutually electrically connecting at least some of the sub-electrodes so that the display device is capable of repairing point defect.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments see pages 6-7 of the remarks, filed on August 20, 2004, with respect to the claim 12 has been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 12 based on US 6,222,599 in view of US 5,260,818 has been

Application/Control Number: 10/748,141

Art Unit: 2871

withdrawn. Further, since claims 13-18 directly or indirectly depends from claim 12, the rejection of claims 13-18 based on the above references is also withdrawn.

Terminal Disclaimer

- 5. The terminal disclaimer filed on August 20, 2004 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of copending applications 10/307,432 and 09/923,344 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted.
- 6. An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/748,141

Art Unit: 2871

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-2287. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TRC October 30, 2004

ARIFUR R. CHOWDHUR